

San Bernardino National Forest Association

Comments submitted by the OHV Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #1a – Data period should be the most recent complete 12 month period for which accurate data may be obtained.
- #4 – Response conflicts with Land Manager’s response.
- #8b – Narrative does not support response with regard to the number of onsite education efforts for “formal programs, educational talks, school field trips, etc.”. Applicant may want to provide additional information.
- #8d – Narrative does not support response with regard to the ‘times per year’ in which the Land Manager offers “ATV Safety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety Foundation approved training courses are provided to the public”.

Restoration – Mountaintop support	G10-04-02-R01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – A number should be entered for checked items “Sensitive areas...” and “Threatened and Endangered...”. • #11 – Applicant may want to verify the response is relative to the size of sensitive habitats which will be restored for this project. 	

Education & Safety	G10-04-02-S01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff – “Other-Program Director” appears to be an Indirect Cost and appears excessive. Applicant may want to provide additional information. • Staff – “Other-Education Manager” appear excessive. Applicant may want to provide additional information. • Materials / Supplies – “Brochures” appear excessive. Applicant may want to provide additional information and itemize costs listed in ‘Notes’. • Equipment Uses Expenses – ‘Qty’ of mileage appears excessive. Applicant may want to provide additional information. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #4 – Narrative does not support response with regard to “...explain how partners listed will participate in the project”. • #6 – Narrative does not support responses with regards to “Publicly noticed meeting(s)...” and “...identify the dates of the meetings or calls”. • #9 – The response appears to conflict with items checked in #10. • #10 – The narrative does not support responses with regard to “Internet classes”, “Self-guided trails”, and “CDs”. 	